

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/586,881	06/05/2000	Mordhay Barkan		8240	
75	90 07/06/2006		EXAMINER		
Dinesh Agarwal Esquire			DASS, HA	DASS, HARISH T	
Law Office Dinesh Agarwal P C Suite 330 5350 Shawnee Road Alexandria, VA 22312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/586,881	BARKAN, MORDHAY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Harish T. Dass	3628		

		0020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	iling date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strength set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amou nortened statutory period for reply o	int of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>31 May 2006</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41	1.37(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	•	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:] will not be entered, or b) ☐ ded below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	r entry is below or attach	ned.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
10. 🔲 Ouigi		HYUNG SON SUPERVISORY PATEN	SH EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation (remark page 10) "There is no communication between the user and the payment service for handling tokens disclosed in Hill." and (remark page 10) "There is no communication with the third party (merchant) providing the service paid for by the tokens." have not been given patentable weights because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).